

Alternative proofs for
the Banach contraction principle

X MS

$T: X \rightarrow X$ α -contraction ($0 < \alpha < 1$)

$x \in X, \varepsilon > 0$

$$d(x, Tx) \leq (1-\alpha)\varepsilon \quad - (*)$$

$\Rightarrow B_\varepsilon(x) : T\text{-invariant}$

Proof

Let $y \in B_\varepsilon(x)$

$$\text{i.e. } d(x, y) < \varepsilon \quad - (**)$$

We show that $Ty \in B_\varepsilon(x)$.

$$\text{i.e. } d(x, Ty) < \varepsilon.$$

It holds true that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, Ty) &\leq d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, Ty) \\ &\leq (1-\alpha)\varepsilon + \alpha d(x, y) \\ &< (1-\alpha)\varepsilon + \alpha\varepsilon \quad \downarrow (***) \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

//

Th

X CMS

$T: X \rightarrow X$ α -contraction ($0 < \alpha < 1$)

$\Rightarrow \exists^1 x^* \in F(T): \forall x \in X, T^n x \rightarrow x^*$

Proof

Let $x \in X$, and define $x_n = T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).

$\{x_n\} (cx)$: Cauchy seq.

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \dots \leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow 0. \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$, and define $\delta = (1-\alpha)\epsilon > 0$.

From (*), for $\delta (= (1-\alpha)\epsilon) > 0$,

$\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}: d(x_{n_0}, Tx_{n_0}) < \delta \equiv (1-\alpha)\epsilon \leq \epsilon$.

Thus, $B_\epsilon(x_{n_0})$ is T -invariant. (**)

From (**), $Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} \in B_\epsilon(x_{n_0})$.

$\therefore T x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0+2} \in B_\epsilon(x_{n_0})$.

$\therefore \{x_{n_0}, x_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+2}, \dots\} \subset B_\epsilon(x_{n_0})$.

Therefore, $\forall n, n \geq n_0, d(x_n, x_n) < 2\epsilon$.

This indicates that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy seq.]

Th

X CMS

$T: X \rightarrow X$ α -contraction ($0 < \alpha < 1$)

$\Rightarrow \exists^1 x^* \in F(T): \forall x \in X, T^n x \rightarrow x^*$

Proof

Let $x \in X$, and define $x_n = T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).

Assume, w.l.g., that $x_n \neq x_{n+1} \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \log d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \log d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \log \alpha \\ &\leq \log d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + 2 \log \alpha \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \log d(x_0, x_1) + n \underbrace{\log \alpha}_{< 0} \rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned} \quad - (*)$$

i. $\log d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow -\infty$

$\therefore d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0.$ — (**)

From (*),

$$\sqrt{d(x_0, x_{n+1})} \left(\log d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - \log d(x_0, x_1) \right) \\ \leq n \underbrace{\log 2}_{< 0} \cdot \sqrt{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \leq 0.$$

From (**), $n \sqrt{d(x_n, x_{n+1})} \rightarrow 0$.

$\therefore \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Let $m > n \geq n_0$.

Then, $d(x_n, x_m)$

$$\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ \leq \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^2} < \infty.$$

As $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$.

$\therefore \{x_n\} (cx)$ is a Cauchy seq. .

Alternative proofs

1. Prove the following:

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a contraction mapping with a parameter $r \in (0, 1)$, where X is a metric space. Select $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ that satisfy $d(x, Tx) < (1 - r)\varepsilon$. Then, $B_\varepsilon(x)$ is T -invariant, where $B_\varepsilon(x) = \{z \in X : d(x, z) < \varepsilon\}$.

2. Let X be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping that satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{d(x, y)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $x \in X$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Define $B_\varepsilon(x) = \{z \in X : d(x, z) < \varepsilon\}$. Under what condition, $B_\varepsilon(x)$ be T -invariant?

3. Prove the Banach contraction principle following the outline of the proof for φ -contractions.
4. Prove the fixed point theorem for Kannan mappings following the outline of the proof for φ -contractions.
5. Prove the Banach contraction principle following the outline of the proof for F -contractions.
6. Prove the fixed point theorem for Kannan mappings following the outline of the proof for F -contractions.